



INTERNATIONAL CONFERENCE ON HARMONISATION GUIDELINES ON THE LIMITS OF GENOTOXIC IMPURITIES IN DRUG PRODUCT

Sunil*¹, Kumar Tarun¹, Thukral Kapil² and Malik Ajay¹

¹Institute of Pharmaceutical sciences, Kurukshetra University Kurukshetra - 136119, Haryana, India

²Keshav College of Pharmacy, Salwan, Karnal - 132046, Haryana, India

Article Received on: 19/01/12 Revised on: 08/03/12 Approved for publication: 10/04/12

*Sunil, Institute of Pharmaceutical sciences, Kurukshetra University Kurukshetra - 136119, Haryana, India

E-mail: sunilkuralwal@yahoo.com

ABSTRACT

An impurity in a drug substance as defined by the International Conference on Harmonisation (ICH) guidelines is any component of the drug substance that is not the chemical entity defined as the drug substance. Similarly, an impurity in a drug product is any component of the drug product that is not the chemical entity defined as the drug substance or an excipient in the drug product. Genotoxic compounds have the potential to damage DNA at any level of exposure and that such damage may lead/contribute to tumour development. Thus for genotoxic carcinogens it is prudent to assume that there is no discernible threshold and that any level of exposure carries a risk. A threshold of toxicological concern (TTC) value of 1.5µg/day intake of a genotoxic impurity is considered to be associated with an acceptable risk (excess cancer risk of <1 in 100,000 over a lifetime) for most pharmaceuticals. From this threshold value, a permitted level in the active substance can be calculated based on the expected daily dose. Higher limits may be justified under certain conditions such as short-term exposure periods.

KEY WORDS: Genotoxic impurity, Threshold of Toxicological concern, Genotoxic carcinogens, Toxicological.

INTRODUCTION

Genotoxic compounds have the potential to damage DNA at any level of exposure and that such damage may lead/contribute to tumour development. A general concept of qualification of impurities is described in the guidelines for active substances (Q3A, Impurities in New Active Substances) or medicinal products (Q3B, Impurities in New Medicinal Products), whereby qualification is defined as the process of acquiring and evaluating data that establishes the biological safety of an individual impurity or a given impurity profile at the level(s) specified. In the case of impurities with a genotoxic potential, determination of acceptable dose levels is generally considered as a particularly critical issue, which is not specifically covered by the existing guidelines.

In the current context the classification of a compound (impurity) as genotoxic in general means that there are positive findings in established in vitro or in vivo genotoxicity tests with the main focus on DNA reactive substances that have a potential for direct DNA damage. Isolated in vitro findings may be assessed for in vivo relevance in adequate follow-up testing. In the absence of such information in vitro genotoxicants are usually considered as presumptive in vivo mutagens and carcinogens. A TTC value of 1.5µg/day intake of a genotoxic impurity is considered to be associated with an acceptable risk (excess cancer risk of <1 in 100,000 over a lifetime) for most pharmaceuticals. From this threshold value, a permitted level in the active substance can be calculated based on the expected daily dose. Higher limits may be justified under certain conditions such as short-term exposure periods. The safety of a drug product is dependent not only on the toxicological properties of the active drug substance itself, but also on the impurities that it contains. Therefore, identification, quantification, and control of impurities in the drug substance and drug product, are an important part of drug development and regulatory assessment. ICH Q3A and Q3B address issues relevant to the regulation of impurities in the drug substance and drug product and relation on the

genotoxic impurities. When more than one genotoxic impurity is present in the drug substance, the TTC value of 1.5µg/day can be applied to each individual impurity only if the impurities are structurally unrelated.

In case of structural similarity, it can be assumed that the impurities act by the same genotoxic mode of action and have the same molecular target and thus might exert effects in an additive manner. In such a situation, a limitation of the sum of the genotoxic impurities at 1.5µg/day is recommended. This might be practically not achievable with reasonable efforts in particular when the maximum daily dose is very high and thus may demand application of lower group limits. Justifications should be made on a case-by-case basis taking into consideration issues such as:

- Maximum daily dose of the active substance;
- Therapeutic indication;
- Step of the synthesis at which the genotoxic impurity (ies) arises;
- Capability of the manufacturing process (purification steps) to eliminate these impurities;
- Capability of the analytical procedure to control these impurities;

In cases where routine use of more powerful detection methods would be difficult, one could consider using such methods during development or testing of the first commercial batches, in order to demonstrate that the actual values are sufficiently below the TTC. In such a case, skip testing could be considered instead of routine testing, providing that the Competent Authorities, based on a risk assessment, consider the approach as acceptable^{1,2}.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

The secondary data used in the study was obtained from various official reports published by World Health Organization and internet. The study is of descriptive type and method used is the description.

TOXICOLOGICAL BACKGROUND

It is assumed that (in vivo) genotoxic compounds have the potential to damage DNA at any level of exposure and that

such damage may lead/contribute to tumour development. Thus for genotoxic carcinogens it is prudent to assume that there is no discernible threshold and that any level of exposure carries a risk. However, the existence of mechanisms leading to biologically meaningful threshold effects is increasingly acknowledged also for genotoxic events. This holds true in particular for compounds interacting with non-DNA targets and also for potential mutagens, which are rapidly detoxified before coming into contact with critical targets. The regulatory approach to such chemicals can be based on the identification of a critical no-observed-effect level (NOEL) and use of uncertainty factors. Even for compounds which are able to react with the DNA molecule, extrapolation in a linear manner from effects in high-dose studies to very low level (human) exposure may not be justified due to several protective mechanisms operating effectively at low doses. However, at present it is extremely difficult to experimentally prove the existence of threshold for the genotoxicity of a given mutagen. Thus, in the absence of appropriate evidence supporting the existence of a threshold for a genotoxic compound making it difficult to define a safe dose it is necessary to adopt a concept of a level of exposure that carries an acceptable risk³.

GENOTOXIC IMPURITIES CREATION

Organic impurities can arise during the manufacturing process and/or storage of the new drug substance. They can be identified or unidentified, volatile or non-volatile, and include:

- Starting materials
- By-products
- Intermediates
- Degradation products
- Reagents, ligands and catalysts

Inorganic impurities can result from the manufacturing process. They are normally known and identified and include:

- Reagents, ligands and catalysts
- Heavy metals or other residual metals
- Inorganic salts
- Other materials (e.g., filter aids, charcoal)

Actual and potential impurities most likely to arise during synthesis, purification and storage of the new drug substance should be identified, based on a sound scientific appraisal of the chemical reactions involved in the synthesis, impurities associated with raw materials that could contribute to the impurity profile of the new drug substance, and possible degradation products. This discussion can be limited to those impurities that might reasonably be expected based on knowledge of the chemical reactions and conditions involved. Guided by existing genotoxicity data or the presence of structural alerts, potential genotoxic impurities should be identified. When a potential impurity contains structural alerts, additional genotoxicity testing of the impurity, typically in a bacterial reverse mutation assay, should be considered. While according to the Q3A guideline such studies can usually be conducted on the drug substance containing the impurity to be controlled, studies using isolated impurities are much more appropriate for this purpose and highly recommended.

Drug products should contain no higher levels of residual solvents than can be supported by safety data. Some solvents that are known to cause unacceptable toxicities (Class 1) should be avoided in the production of drug substances, excipients, or drug products unless their use can be strongly

justified in a risk/benefit assessment. Some solvents associated with less severe toxicity (Class 2) should be limited in order to protect patients from potential adverse effects. Ideally, less toxic solvents (Class 3) should be used where practical. For determination of acceptable levels of exposure to genotoxic carcinogens considerations of possible mechanisms of action and of the dose-response relationship are important components. Based on the above considerations genotoxic impurities may be distinguished into the following two classes:

- Genotoxic compounds with sufficient (experimental) evidence for a threshold related mechanism
- Genotoxic compounds without sufficient (experimental) evidence for a threshold-related mechanism

Genotoxic Compounds with Sufficient Evidence for a Threshold-Related Mechanism

Examples of mechanisms of genotoxicity that may be demonstrated to lead to non-linear or thresholded dose-response relationships include interaction with the spindle apparatus of cell division leading to aneuploidy, topoisomerase inhibition, inhibition of DNA synthesis, overloading of defence mechanisms, metabolic overload and physiological perturbations (e.g. induction of erythropoiesis, hyper- or hypothermia). For (classes of) compounds with clear evidence for a thresholded genotoxicity, exposure levels which are without appreciable risk of genotoxicity. This approach calculates a "Permitted Daily Exposure" (PDE), which is derived from the NOEL, or "the lowest to observed effect level" (LOEL) in the most relevant (animal) study using "uncertainty factors" (UF).

Genotoxic Compounds without Sufficient Evidence for a Threshold-Related Mechanism

The assessment of acceptability of genotoxic impurities for which no threshold mechanisms are identified should include both pharmaceutical and toxicological evaluations. In general, pharmaceutical measurements should be guided by a policy of controlling levels to "as low as reasonably practicable" (ALARP principle), where avoiding is not possible. Levels considered being consistent with the ALARP principle following pharmaceutical assessment should be assessed for acceptability from a toxicological point of view (see decision tree & following sections). If the level of a mutagenic impurity is below the threshold of toxicological concern (equivalent to a clinical dose $\leq 1.5\mu\text{g/day}$) it is not necessary to apply ALARP considerations unless it is a structure of very high concern, e.g. N-nitroso compounds^{2,6}.

PHARMACEUTICAL VALUATION

A specific discussion on impurities should be provided in the application with regard to impurities with potential genotoxicity. A rationale of the proposed formulation/manufacturing strategy should be provided based on available formulation options and technologies. The applicant should highlight, within the chemical process and impurity profile of active substance, all chemical substances, used as reagents or present as intermediates, or side-products, known as genotoxic and/or carcinogenic (e.g. alkylating agents). More generally, reacting substances and substances which show "alerting structure" in terms of genotoxicity which are not shared with the active substance. Potential alternatives which do not lead to genotoxic residues in the final product should be used if available. A justification needs to be provided that no viable alternative exists, including alternative routes of synthesis or formulations, different starting materials. This might for instance include

cases where the structure, which is responsible for the genotoxic and/or carcinogenic potential, is equivalent to that needed in chemical synthesis. If a genotoxic impurity is considered to be unavoidable in a drug substance, technical efforts (e.g. purification steps) should be undertaken to reduce the content of the genotoxic residues in the final product in compliance with safety needs or to a level as low as reasonably practicable (see safety assessment). Data on chemical stability of reactive intermediates, reactants, and other components should be included in this assessment. Detection and/or quantification of these residues should be done by state-of-the-art analytical techniques⁵.

TOXICOLOGICAL ASSESSMENT

The impossibility of defining a safe exposure level (zero risk concept) for genotoxic carcinogens without a threshold and the realization that complete elimination of genotoxic impurities from drug substances is often unachievable, requires implementation of a concept of an acceptable risk level, i.e. an estimate of daily human exposure at and below which there is a negligible risk to human health. However, these approaches require availability of adequate data from long-term carcinogenicity studies. In most cases of toxicological assessment of genotoxic impurities only limited data from *in vitro* studies with the impurity (e.g. Ames test, chromosomal aberration test) are available and thus established approaches to determine acceptable intake levels cannot be applied. Calculation of "safety multiples" from *in vitro* data (e.g. Ames test) are considered inappropriate for justification of acceptable limits. Moreover, negative carcinogenicity and genotoxicity data with the drug substance containing the impurity at low ppm levels do not provide sufficient assurance for setting acceptable limits for the impurity due to the lack of sensitivity of this testing approach. Even potent mutagens and carcinogens are most likely to remain undetected when tested as part of the drug substance, i.e. at very low exposure levels. A pragmatic approach is therefore needed which recognises that the presence of very low levels of genotoxic impurities is not associated with an unacceptable risk⁴.

APPLICATION OF A THRESHOLD OF TOXICOLOGICAL CONCERN

A threshold of toxicological concern (TTC) has been developed to define a common exposure level for any unstudied chemical that will not pose a risk of significant carcinogenicity or other toxic effects. This TTC value was estimated to be 1.5µg/person/day. The TTC, originally developed as a "threshold of regulation" at the FDA for foodcontact materials was established based on the analysis of 343 carcinogens from a carcinogenic potency database and was repeatedly confirmed by evaluations expanding the database to more than 700 carcinogens. The probability distribution of carcinogenic potencies has been used to derive an estimate of a daily exposure level (µg/person) of most carcinogens which would give rise to less than a one in a million (1×10^{-6}) upper bound lifetime risk of cancer ("virtually safe dose"). Further analysis of subsets of high potency carcinogens led to the suggestion of a 10-fold lower TTC (0.15µg/day) for chemicals with structural alerts that raise concern for potential genotoxicity. However, for application of a TTC in the assessment of acceptable limits of genotoxic impurities in drug substances a value of 1.5µg/day, corresponding to a 10⁻⁵ lifetime risk of cancer can be justified as for pharmaceuticals a benefit exists. It should be

recognized in this context that the methods on which the TTC value is based, are generally considered very conservative since they involved a simple linear extrapolation from the dose giving a 50% tumour incidence (TD₅₀) to a 1 in 106 incidence, using TD₅₀ data for the most sensitive species and most sensitive site (several "worst case" assumptions). Some structural groups were identified to be of such high potency that intakes even below the TTC would be associated with a high probability of a significant carcinogenic risk. This group of high potency genotoxic carcinogens comprises aflatoxin-like-, Nnitroso-, and azoxy-compounds that have to be excluded from the TTC approach. Risk assessment of members of such groups requires compound-specific toxicity data.

There may be reasons to deviate from the TTC value based on the profile of genotoxicity results. Positive result from *in vitro* studies only may allow to exempt an impurity from limitation at TTC level if lack of *in vivo* relevance of the findings is convincingly demonstrated based on a weight-of-evidence approach (see ICH S2 guidelines). This approach will usually need negative results with the impurity from some additional *in vitro* and/or appropriate *in vivo* testing. A TTC value higher than 1.5µg/day may be acceptable under certain conditions, e.g. short-term exposure, for treatment of a life-threatening condition, when life expectancy is less than 5 years, or where the impurity is a known substance and human exposure will be much greater from other sources (e.g. food). Genotoxic impurities that are also significant metabolites may be assessed based on the acceptability of the metabolites. The concentration limits in ppm of genotoxic impurity in drug substance derived from the TTC can be calculated based on the expected daily dose to the patient using equation:

$$\text{Concentration limit (ppm)} = \text{TTC } [\mu\text{g/day}] / \text{dose [g/day]}$$

The TTC concept should not be applied to carcinogens where adequate toxicity data (long-term studies) are available and allow for a compound-specific risk assessment. It has to be emphasized that the TTC is a pragmatic risk management tool using a probabilistic methodology, i.e. there is a high probability that a 10⁻⁵ lifetime cancer risk will not be exceeded if the daily intake of a genotoxic impurity with unknown carcinogenic potential/potency is below the TTC value. The TTC concept should not be interpreted as providing absolute certainty of no risk^{2,7}.

CONCLUSION

Genotoxic compounds have the potential to damage DNA at any level of exposure and that such damage may lead/contribute to tumour development. Thus for genotoxic carcinogens it is prudent to assume that there is no discernible threshold and that any level of exposure carries a risk. A TTC value of 1.5µg/day intake of a genotoxic impurity is considered to be associated with an acceptable risk (excess cancer risk of <1 in 100,000 over a lifetime) for most pharmaceuticals. From this threshold value, a permitted level in the active substance can be calculated based on the expected daily dose.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

We thank Institute of Pharmaceutical Sciences, Kurukshetra University Kurukshetra for providing necessary facilities for the study

REFERENCES

1. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Draft Guidance for Industry, ANDAs: Impurities in Drug Substances, 2005.
2. Raw S, Furness MS, Gill DS, Adams RC, Holcombe Jr. FO, Yu LX. Regulatory considerations of pharmaceutical solid polymorphism in Abbreviated New Drug Applications (ANDAs). *Advanced Drug Delivery Review*, 2004, 56: 397–414.
3. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Guidance for Industry, Q3A Impurities in New Drug Substances, 2003.
4. U.S. Food and Drug Administration. Guidance for Industry, Q6A Specifications: Test Procedures and Acceptance Criteria for New Drug Substances and New Drug Products: Chemical Substances, 1999.
5. *Approved Drug Products with Therapeutic Equivalence Evaluations (Orange Book)*, 2003, 23: V–XXII.
6. www.fda.gov/cder/ogd.
7. www.ec.europa.eu/enterprise/pharmaceuticals/leudralex.

Source of support: Nil, Conflict of interest: None Declared