INTRODUCTION
Delivering medicine to the general circulation through the skin is seen as a desirable alternative to taking it by mouth or by oral route. Transdermal drug delivery is defined as delivering the drug through the skin at controlled rate to the systemic circulation. The transdermal patches uses a polymer membrane to control the rate at which the drug contained in the reservoir within the patch can pass through the skin and into the blood stream. Today most of the drug are taken orally but, they are found not to be as effective as desired. So to improve such character TDDS was emerged. Currently TDDS is one of the most promising methods for drug application. Transdermal drug delivery provides a leading edge over injectables and oral route by increasing patient compliances and avoiding first pass metabolism respectively. TDDS not only provides a controlled, constant administration of drug, but also allows continuous input of drug with short biological half life and eliminates pulsed entry into systemic circulation which often causes undesirable side effect. Transdermal drug delivery provides a leading edge over injectables and oral route by increasing patient compliances and avoiding first pass metabolism respectively. TDDS not only provides a controlled, constant administration of drug, but also provide short biological half life and eliminates pulsed entry into systemic circulation which often causes undesirable side effect. The objective of this research work was to develop a transdermal system which can produce a constant and prolonged release of the drug, to evaluate the effect of ethyl cellulose on the fabrication of the patch and drug release from the patch, to evaluate the effect of plasticizers on the physico-chemical properties of the patch and on drug permeation across the membrane.

MATERIALS AND METHODS
Material
Amlodipine was kindly supplied as gift samples by Microlabs Pharmaceuticals, Bangalore, India. Polymers and plasticizer used were purchased by SD fine chemicals, India. The Drug analysis was performed using UV Spectroscopy. In addition, an electronic balance (Shimadzu AX200), magnetic stirrer (REMI model Mumbai), a sonicator (Spectra Lab, model UCB 40), a hot air oven (Labhosp) and a Franz diffusion cell (self fabrication) were used in this study.

Methodology
Formulation of Transdermal Drug Delivery System
TDDS was developed using solvent evaporation method. In this polymer is dissolved in particular solvent and then the specified quantity of drug as well as plasticizers were added and was air dried for 24 h in petridish with help of inverted funnel for controlled evaporation. A total of 8 formulations were made in as shown in Table 1.

Preparation of Amlodipine Patches
Drug loaded matrix type transdermal films of Amlodipine were prepared by solvent evaporation method. The polymers like EC were dissolved in particular solvents with help of magnetic stirrer followed by the addition of drug into the polymeric solution and then the plasticizers were incorporated with continuous stirring and the volume was made up. The resultant solution was casted onto the petridish and an inverted funnel was placed. After 24 hours the films were removed by using sharp knife by inserting along the edge of the films and stored for further studies.

Evaluation of Transdermal Patches
Physical Appearance
All the prepared patches were visually inspected for color, clarity, flexibility and smoothness.

Thickness Uniformity
The thickness of the formulated film was measured at 3 different points using a calliper and average thickness was calculated.

Folding Endurance
The folding endurance was measured manually for the prepared films. A strip of film 1 cm² was cut and repeatedly
folded at the same place till it broke. The number of times the film could be folded at the same place without breaking or cracking gives the value of folding endurance.\(^{10}\)

**Percentage Moisture Absorption**
The films were weighed accurately and placed in desiccators containing 100 ml of saturated solution of potassium chloride after 3 days; the films were taken out and weighed. The percentage moisture absorption was calculated using the formula:\(^{12}\)

\[
\% \text{ moisture absorption} = \frac{\text{Final weight} - \text{Initial weight}}{\text{Initial weight}} \times 100
\]

**Percentage Moisture Loss**
The films were weighed accurately and placed in a desiccators containing anhydrous calcium chloride.\(^{15}\) After 3 days, the films were taken out and weighed. The percentage moisture loss was calculated using the formula given below:\(^{12}\)

\[
\% \text{ moisture loss} = \frac{\text{Initial weight} - \text{Final weight}}{\text{Initial weight}} \times 100
\]

**Water Vapor Transmission Rate**
Glass vials of 5ml capacity were washed thoroughly and dried to a constant weight in an oven. About 1 g of fused calcium chloride was taken in the vials and the polymer films were fixed over the brim with the help of adhesive tape. Then the vials were weighed and stored in a humidity chamber of 70 – 80 % RH condition for a period of 24 h. The vials were removed and weighed after 24 h to note down the weight gain and transmission rate was found out.\(^{13}\)

\[
\text{Transmission rate} = \frac{\text{Final weight} - \text{Initial weight}}{\text{Time} \times \text{Area}}
\]

**Drug Content**
1.1544 cm\(^2\) areas of the small films were cut and were dissolved in sufficient quantity of methanol. The volume was made up to 100 ml. The absorbance of the diluted solution was measured at 238 nm and the drug content in the film was calculated.\(^{14}\)

**In-vitro Drug Diffusion Studies**
*In-vitro* diffusion studies were performed by using franz diffusion cell with a receptor compartment capacity of 20 ml. The synthetic cellophane membrane was mounted between donor and receptor compartment of the diffusion cell. The formulated patches were cut into size of 1.4 cm radius and placed over the drug release membrane and the receptor compartment of the diffusion cell was filled with phosphate buffer pH 7.4. The whole assembly was fixed on a magnetic stirrer, and the solution in the receptor compartment was constantly and continuously stirred using magnetic beads at 50 rpm. The sample of 2 ml were withdrawn at time intervals of 30 minutes, 1 h, 1.30 h, 2 h, 3 h, 4 h, 5 h, 6 h, 7 h, 8 h and 24 h and analyzed for drug content spectrophotometrically at 238 nm.\(^{15}\)

**RESULT**

**Calculation of Total Drug Loading**
The formulation of the patch was made in such a way that each small circular patch of 1.4 cm radius (which is the radius of the franz diffusion cell) contains 5 mg of the drug. The total amount of drug to be loaded in the patch was calculated by measuring the total area of the petri dish in which the patch will be casted. The calculation was done as follows;

\[
\text{Area of the small circular patch} = \frac{6.1544 \text{ cm}^2}{2}\ 
\text{Desired drug content in the small patch} = 5 \text{ mg} \\
\text{Area of the petri dish} = 67.89 \text{ cm}^2 \\
\text{Total amount of drug to be loaded} = 67.89 \times 5 = 6.1544 \times 55 \text{ mg}
\]

Hence 55 mg of the drug was added in each formulation in order to get 5 mg per small circular patch.

**Preparation of Transdermal Patches**
As per the methodology transdermal patches using EC were prepared by using solvent casting method.

**Evaluation of Prepared Transdermal Patches**

**Folding Endurance**
In general, folding endurance of all the films was found to be satisfactory indicating good strength and elasticity. The observed values are given in Table 2. Folding endurance of EC was found to be in the range of 70-80. Folding endurance was found to increase with the polymer content.

**Thickness**
Thickness of EC was evaluated with the use of a vernier caliper and was found to be in the range of 0.20 - 0.25 mm.

**Percentage Moisture Loss**
Percentage moisture loss for ethyl cellulose containing patches was found in the range of 2.12 – 13.68 %. Percentage moisture loss of prepared ethyl cellulose patches was found to be increased with increase in the percentage of the polymer (1 %, 1.5 %, 2 % and 2.5 %) irrespective of the plasticizers (DBP and PG) used.

**Percentage Moisture Absorption**
Percentage moisture absorption for EC was found in the range of 6.21 – 10.31 %. Percentage moisture absorption tends to decrease with increase in the percentage of the polymer (1 %, 1.5 %, 2 %, 2.5 %) irrespective of plasticizers (DBP and PG) used.

**Water Vapour Transmission Rate**
Water vapour transmission rates for EC was found in the range of 0.189 – 0.243 g/cm\(^2\)/h. Water vapour transmission rate results were found to be similar to the results obtained in moisture absorption studies.

**Drug Content**
Drug content in each small circular patches were analyzed spectrophotometrically and It was observed that all the formulations showed a satisfactory drug content values ranging from 92 – 99 %.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Polymers</th>
<th>Plasticizers</th>
<th>Polymer Percentage (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Amlodipine</td>
<td>Ethyl cellulose</td>
<td>DBP</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>PG</td>
<td>1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EC = Ethylcellulose ; PG = Propyleneglycol ; DBP = Dibutylphthlate

---

**Table 1: Formulations Containing EC Patches**
Table 2: Evaluation of Prepared EC Patches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulations</th>
<th>Folding Endurance</th>
<th>Thickness (mm)</th>
<th>Percentage Moisture loss (%)</th>
<th>Percentage Moisture Absorption (%)</th>
<th>Water Vapor Transmission Rate (g/cm²/h)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E 1 (1 % EC and PG)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>0.21</td>
<td>2.12</td>
<td>9.31</td>
<td>0.2439</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 2 (1.5 % EC and PG)</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>8.69</td>
<td>6.54</td>
<td>0.2345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3 (2 % EC and PG)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>11.36</td>
<td>6.59</td>
<td>0.2168</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 4 (2.5 % EC and PG)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>11.62</td>
<td>5.71</td>
<td>0.2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 5 (1 % EC and DBP)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>2.52</td>
<td>10.31</td>
<td>0.2303</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 6 (1.5 % EC and DBP)</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>0.23</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>8.52</td>
<td>0.2205</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 7 (2 % EC and DBP)</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>0.24</td>
<td>6.48</td>
<td>7.65</td>
<td>0.2032</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 8 (2.5 % EC and DBP)</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>0.25</td>
<td>13.68</td>
<td>6.81</td>
<td>0.1897</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EC = Ethylcellulose ; PG = Propyleneglycol ; DBP = Dibutylphthlate

Table 3: Assay and Cumulative drug release of Prepared EC Patches

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulations</th>
<th>Assay (%)</th>
<th>Cumulative drug release at 8 h (%)</th>
<th>Cumulative drug release at 24 h (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E 1 (1 % EC and PG)</td>
<td>98.98</td>
<td>82.34</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 2 (1.5 % EC and PG)</td>
<td>98.41</td>
<td>61.38</td>
<td>92.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 3 (2 % EC and PG)</td>
<td>96.74</td>
<td>51.62</td>
<td>74.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 4 (2.5 % EC and PG)</td>
<td>97.97</td>
<td>32.14</td>
<td>65.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 5 (1 % EC and DBP)</td>
<td>92.29</td>
<td>85.21</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 6 (1.5 % EC and DBP)</td>
<td>95.95</td>
<td>58.23</td>
<td>99.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 7 (2 % EC and DBP)</td>
<td>96.39</td>
<td>56.24</td>
<td>76.80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E 8 (2.5 % EC and DBP)</td>
<td>97.97</td>
<td>40.12</td>
<td>69.39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

EC = Ethylcellulose ; PG = Propyleneglycol ; DBP = Dibutylphthlate

Figure 1: Diffusion study of E1, E2, E3 and E4

Figure 2: Diffusion study of E5, E6, E7 and E8

**Diffusion Study**

**Effect of EC Patches on Drug Release**

As shown in Table 3, the formulations with 1 % EC with PG and DBP (E1 and E5) as plasticizers showed a maximum release of more than 80 % within 8 hours. The formulation with 1.5 % EC (E2 and E6) showed around a 61.38 % and 58.23 % release at 8 hours and about 92.8 % and 99.12 % release respectively for PG and DBP containing patches at the end of 24 hours. When concentration of EC was further increased to 2 % and 2.5 %, the formulations showed the least percentage drug diffusion of just 30 – 40 % at 8 hours and around 65 – 75 % at the end of 24 hours. From the above result it was observed that 1.5 % EC containing formulations
produced a sustained and complete release over a period of 24 hours.

**DISCUSSION**

The present study was aimed at preparing transdermal patches containing Amlodipine for sustained release of drug and studies the effect of polymer on rate of release. Since the oral bioavailability of Amlodipine is poor due to first pass metabolism, different matrix type transdermal patches were formulated. Transdermal patches composed of different polymers such EC at different concentration of 1 %, 1.5 %, 2 % and 2.5 % using two different plasticizers PG, DBP were prepared using solvent casting technique. A total of 8 formulations were made using Ethyl cellulose. The formulated patches were subjected to physicochemical evaluatory parameters i.e. folding endurance, thickness, moisture loss, moisture absorption, water vapour transmission rate and assay to ascertain their integrity and physical stability. Folding endurance value of matrix films were found within 230 – 310 no of folds, indicating good strength and elasticity and that the patch would maintain the integrity with general skin folding when applied. The thickness of all the formulations indicates physical uniformity among the prepared patches. The drug content analysis values show minimum batch variability. Hydrophilic polymers like HPMC and CS with increased concentration showed an increase in water vapor transmission rate and % moisture absorption as it was able to retain water in the patch while hydrophobic polymer like EC with increased concentration showed a decrease value, as it was able to repel water. Optimization of the formulated patches was done by performing in-vitro diffusion rate studies using franz diffusion cell with cellophane membrane. In these studies with the increase in the percentage of the polymer, the % cumulative release decreases. The decrease in drug release from patches containing EC may be attributed to hydrophobic nature of polymerwhich helps to retain the drug in matrix system by reducing the penetration of solvent molecule into the patch. The formulations with 1 % EC with PG and DBP (E1 and E5) as plasticizers showed a maximum release of more than 80 % within 8 hours which suggest that these formulation were not able to sustain the drug release for a desired time. The formulation with 1.5 % EC (E2 and E6) showed around 61.38 % and 58.23 % release at 8 hours and about 92.8 % and 99.12 % release respectively for PG and DBP containing patches at the end of 24 hours. When concentration of EC was further increased to 2 % and 2.5 %, the formulations showed the least percentage drug diffusion of just 30 – 40 % at 8 hours and around 65 – 75 % at the end of 24 hours. From the above result it was observed that 1.5 % EC containing formulations were found to be more suitable since they produced a sustained and complete release over a period of 24 hours. Among the two different plasticizers used with these formulations, DBP seems to be better with respect to physical properties as well as drug release characteristics. DBP containing patches showed an increase in drug diffusion of about 85.21 %, 58.23 %, 56.24 % and 40.12 % for 1 %, 1.5 %, 2 % and 2.5 % as compared to percentage of PG with same content of EC. Thus the formulation E6 with 1.5 % EC and DBP as plasticizer was considered as best among EC containing formulations.

**CONCLUSION**

Delivery of drug into systemic circulation through skin has created lot of interest among pharmaceutical scientist during recent years. The transdermal system offers several advantages over oral dosage forms which include avoidance of hepatic first pass effect metabolism, decrease in frequency of administration, providing steady state plasma concentration and improves patient compliance etc. Hence in this study an attempt was made to deliver Amlodipine transdermally in order to provide a constant serum level of drug over the prolonged period of time. Polymers like EC were selected for the study and were used at different concentrations. PG and DBP were incorporated as plasticizers in the formulations. On evaluation of various parameters it was found that the polymers produced satisfactory results with respect to the physical characteristics of the film and the release characteristics across synthetic membrane. The release profile suggested that increase in polymer content led to decrease in release rate of the drug. Lower concentration of polymers gave an initial burst release of about 50 % within 2 hours and as concentration were increased they were able to sustained the release for prolonged period but could not release the entire content in the prescribed time limit. Hence it was concluded that concentration of 1.5 % for EC with DBP (E6) as plasticizers will be the most suitable one for the transdermal systems of Amlodipine as it showed sustained and complete release at a period of 24 hours. Further studies using various animal models can throw more light on the variability of the prepared transdermal systems.
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