INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF PHARMACY

Available online www.irjponline.com

COMPARATIVE STUDY OF IN-PROCESS AND FINISHED PRODUCTS QUALITY CONTROL TESTS OF INDIAN PHARMACOPOEIA, BRITISH PHARMACOPOEIA & UNITED STATES PHARMACOPOEIA FOR CAPSULES AND LIQUID ORALS

Teja CH, Balamuralidhara V^{*}, Vinay S, Sudeendra Bhat R and Pramod Kumar T M

Pharmaceutical Quality Assurance Group, Dept. of Pharmaceutics, JSS College of Pharmacy, JSS University, Sri Shivarathreeshwara Nagar, Mysore, India

Article Received on: 03/08/11 Revised on: 01/09/11 Approved for publication: 21/09/11

*Email: tejaswinichennadi@gmail.com

ABSTRACT

Present study deals with a brief overview of the comparative study of quality requirements for in-process and finished products quality control Tests of Indian Pharmacopeia (IP), British Pharmacopeia (BP) & United States Pharmacopeia (USP) for some conventional dosage forms. The concept of total quality control test refers to the process of striving to produce a quality product by a series of measures, requiring an organized effort in order to eliminate errors at every stage in the production. In process product testing is done in order to check the conformance of the final product with the compendial standards as specified in the pharmacopeias. As the final sample taken for the finished product testing is only a representative of a large batch, a significant difference still remains. The pharmacopeias have laid down the specified limits within which the value should fall in order to be compliant as per the standards. The official pharmacopeias in different parts of the world specify the quality requirements for pharmaceutical product should fall in order to meet the pharmacopeial specifications that satisfy quality requirements for many regions. The main aim is to study the quality control tests for capsules and liquid orals and to list down the similarities and differences as per various Pharmacopeias. The parameters examined for capsules and liquid orals dosage forms are compared and certain similarities and differences were observed. It was noted that except for a few parameters, the quality control tests were broadly similar.

Keywords: Indian Pharmacopeia, British Pharmacopeia, United States Pharmacopeia, capsules and liquid orals quality control.

INTRODUCTION

In the pharmaceutical industry, total quality of the product must be ensured in order to prevent the kind of product which does not comply with the specifications laid down by the Pharmacopoeias, and at the same time it is also necessary for controlling the errors during the production process. Quality can be defined as the suitability of the goods or service to the determined qualifications. Quality control emphasizes testing of products for defects and reporting to management who makes the decision to investigate or deny the release. Both the in process and finished product quality control tests help to ensure the total quality of the product. The entire dealing process (In process and finished product quality control tests) involves stringent quality control tests to make products totally flawless before they are released into the market.

In-process tests may be performed during the manufacture of either the drug substance or drug product, rather than as part of the formal battery of tests which are conducted prior to release.

In process controls (IPC) are checks that are carried out before the manufacturing process is completed. The function of in process controls involves monitoring and if necessary, adaptation of the manufacturing process in order to comply with the specifications. This may include control of equipment and environment too.

In process materials should be tested for their physical parameters and its quality attributes which are later approved or rejected by the quality control department based on the results obtained during the manufacturing process. Rejected In process materials should be identified and controlled under a quarantine system designed to prevent their use in manufacturing.

Standard operating procedures should be established and followed that describe the in process controls and tests. Certain tests conducted during the manufacturing process, where the acceptance criterion is identical to or narrower than the release requirement, (e.g., pH of a solution) which may satisfy requirements when the test is included in the specification.

References to certain procedures are quite similar in pharmacopoeias in each region even though there are minor changes within each of them. Wherever and whichever procedures are appropriate, pharmacopoeial procedures should be utilized. Whereas differences in pharmacopoeial procedures and/or acceptance criteria have existed among the regions, a harmonized specification is possible only if the procedures and acceptance criteria defined are acceptable to regulatory authorities in all regions.

In process controls may be performed at regular intervals during a process or at the end of the process. The objectives of in process control are both quality control and process control. The classic interpretation of the term in process control includes the recording of measured values by members of the in process control group.

Finished product controls (FPC) are checks that are carried out after the manufacturing process is complete with respect to qualitative and quantitative characteristics along with test procedures and their acceptance limits, with which the finished product must comply throughout its valid shelf life.

In order to determine the specifications of the finished product, the quality characteristics related to the manufacturing process should be taken into account. An appropriate specification for each aspect of quality studied during the phase of development and during the validation of the manufacturing process should be determined. At least those aspects considered to be critical should be the object of specifications routinely verified. The specification limits of the finished product at the time of batch release are set by the marketing authorization applicant such that the specifications proposed at the end of shelf life are guaranteed and are established on the basis of a critical detailed review of the data gathered from the batches analyzed.

The concept of total quality control test refers to the process of striving to produce a perfect product by a series of measures requiring an organized effort in order to eliminate errors at every stage in the production. In process product testing is required in order to check the conformance of the product with the compendial standards as specified in the pharmacopoeias. The pharmacopoeias have laid down the specified limits within which the value should fall in order to be compliant as per the standards. As the final samples taken for the finished product testing is only a representative of a large batch, a significant difference still remains

ISSN 2230 – 8407 Review Article

Table 1: Test Procedures for Capsules

because of minor variation in the specified limits in different pharmacopoeias. Since the markets have opened up due to globalization it is necessary for a product to comply with the standards of the place where it is to be marketed.

As the official pharmacopoeias Indian Pharmacopeia¹⁻³, British Pharmacopeia⁴⁻⁶ & United States Pharmacopeia⁷ are different in different parts of the globe, there is a need for the harmonized limit within which a product should fall in order to meet the pharmacopoeial specifications of that region. The aim of the study is quality control tests for some conventional dosage forms and to list down the similarities and differences as per various Pharmacopoeias.

IN-PROCESS AND FINISHED PRODUCTS QUALITY CONTROL TESTS FOR CAPSULES

Capsules are solid dosage forms in which medicinal agents and/or inert substances are enclosed in a small shell of gelatin. Gelatin capsule shells may be hard or soft, depending on their composition. Substances added to official preparations including capsules, to enhance the stability, usefulness or elegance or to facilitate their manufacture may be used only if they

- i. Are harmless in the quantities used
- ii. Do not exceed the minimum amounts required to provide their intended effect
- iii. Do not impair the products bioavailability, therapeutic efficacy or safety.

iv. Do not interfere with requisite compendial assays and tests.

The capsules quality control (CQC) tests (Table 1) are:

- Uniformity of weight
- Content of active ingredients
- Uniformity of content
- Uniformity of mass
- Disintegration test
- Dissolution test

Referen	Test Procedure										
COC 1	Uniformity of weight:										
	Weigh an intact capsule. Open the capsule without losing any part of the shell and remove the contents as completely as possible. To remove the contents										
	of a soft capsule the shell may be washed with ether or other suitable solvent and the shell allowed to stand until the odour of the solvent is no longer										
	detectable.										
	Weigh the shell. The weight o	t the contents is the c	inference betwe	en the weig	shing. Repea	t the p	brocedure with a f	arther 19	capsule	s. Determine the	(B) and
	none deviates by more than tw	vice that percentage.		ne average	weight by h		nan die percentag		511 5110 W		(D) and
CQC 2	Content of active ingredients	s:									
	Determine the amount of activ	e ingredient by the m	nethod described	in the assa	y and calcula	ate the	e amount of active	ingredier	nt in eac	ch capsule.	
	The result lies within the range	ge for the content of	active ingredier	it stated in	the monogra	aph. T	This range is base	d on the 1	requiren	ment that 20 caps	sules, or
	such other number as may be i Where 20 capsulas cannot be	indicated in the mono	ograph, are used	in the Assa	Jess than 5	may 1	he used but to all	ow for sa	mpling	arrors the tolera	nces are
	widened in accordance with th	Table 2(A) below.	iumber, which i	nust not be	iess man 5,	may	be used, but to an	0 101 30	impring	citors the totera	lices are
	The requirements of the Table	$e^{2(A)}$ apply when the	e stated limits a	re between	90 and 110	perce	nt. For limits othe	er than 90	to 110	percent, proport	ionately
	smaller or larger allowances sl	hould be made.									
			Table 1(A): Limits fo	or content o	f activ	ve ingredients				
	We	eight of active	Subtract from	m lower li	nit for	Ad	d to the upper lif	nit for			
	ing tab	let	samples of			San	ilples of				
			1.	10	1-			-			
			15	10	5	15	10	5			
	0.1	2g or less	0.2	0.7	16	0.3	0.8	1.8			
	Mo	bre than 0.12g	0.2	0.5	1.2	0.3	0.6	1.5			
	But	t less than 0.3g									
~~~	0.3	g or more	0.1	0.2	0.8	0.2	0.4	1.0			
CQC 3	Uniformity of content:	-1 4h-44-: 14		- 41 10 -		- C4:				:	
	ingredient carry out the test for	or each active ingred	lient that correspondent	s than 10 p	e before me	of actione	d conditions The	test sho	uld be c	ang more than or	after the
	content of active ingredient in	a pooled sample of the	he capsules has	been shown	to be within	n acce	pted limits of the	stated con	itent.	united out only a	ther the
	Determine the content of the a	active ingredient in e	each of 10 capsu	ıles taken a	t random us	ing th	e method given i	the mon	iograph	or by any other	suitable
	analytical method of equivaler	nt accuracy and preci-	sion.								
	The capsules comply with the	$\frac{1}{2}$ test if not more than $\frac{1}{2}$	n one of the ind	ividual value	les thus obta	uned i	is outside the limi	ts 85 to 1	15 perc	cent of the average	ge value
	determination using another 20	0 cansules		idual value	s are outside	e the	111111111111111111111111111111111111111	percent o	i the av	relage values, le	peat the
	The capsules comply with the	test if in the total san	nple of 30 capsu	les not mor	e than 3 ind	ividua	l values are outsid	le the lim	its 85 to	115 percent and	none is
	outside the limits 75 to 125 pe	ercent of the average v	value.							•	
CQC 4	Uniformity of mass:										
	Weigh an intact capsule. Open	the capsule without	losing any part o	of the shell	and remove	the co	ntents as complet	ely as pos	sible.	bla Waigh the sk	all The
	mass of the contents is the diff	Erence between the w	veighing. Repeat	t the procee	lure with and	other 1	9 capsules.	5 longer p	creepin	ore. weight the si	en. The
	Table 1(B): Limits for Uniformity of mass										
	Pharmaceutical form Average mass(mg) Percentage deviation										
		Cansules granul	les (uncoated	Less f	han 300mg		10				
		single dose), po	wders (single	1055 0	iun soonig		10				
		dose)									
				300mg	or more		7.5				
CQC 5	Disintegration test:										
	Introduce one capsule into eac	ch tube and, if directe	d add a disc to e	ach tube. S	uspend the a	issemł	oly in the beaker of	ontaining	the spe	ecified liquid and	operate
	the apparatus for the specified	time. Remove the as	sembly from the	e liquid. Th	e capsules pa	$16  \mathrm{cf}$	test if all of them	have dist	integrate	ed.	
	If the capsules adhere to the disc and the preparation under examination fails to comply repeat the test omitting the disc. The preparation complies with the										
	test if all the capsules in the repeat test disintegrate.										
CQC 6	Dissolution test:										

	Place the stated volume of the dissolution medium, free from dissolved air, into the vessel of the apparatus. Assemble the apparatus and warm the dissolution medium to $265^{\circ}$ to $275^{\circ}$ C. Unless otherwise stated means an approximate the apparatus taking area to avoid a in hybrid and the approximate taking area to avoid a in hybrid and the approximate taking area to avoid a in hybrid a state of the approximate taking area to avoid a state of the approximate taking area to avoid a state of the approximate taking area to avoid a state of the approximate taking area to avoid a state of the approximate taking area to avoid a state of the approximate taking area to avoid a state of the approximate taking area to avoid a state of the approximate taking area to avoid a state of the approximate taking area to avoid a state of the approximate taking area to avoid a state of taking area taking area to avoid a state of taking area taking area to avoid a state of taking area taking area taking area to avoid a state of taking area taking area to avoid a state of taking area ta
	dissolution medium to 56.5 to 57.5 C. Unless otherwise stated, place one capsule in the apparatus, taking care to exclude air bubbles from the surface of
	When paddle is used, allow the capsule to sink to the bottom of the vessel prior to the rotation of the paddle. A suitable device such as a wire of glass helix may be used to learn beginner to the bottom of the vessel computer that would otherwise float
	may be used to keep norizontaria the bottom of the vessel capsules that would office wise hoat. When backet time is used place the capsule in a dry backet at the bacinging of each test. Lower the backet into position before rotation. Operate the
	when based type is used, place the capsule in a div based at the organising of each test. Lower the based into position before foldation, operate the annearable within the interval specified on at each of the times stated
	withdraw a specime from a zone midway between the surface of the dissolution medium and the top of the rotating blade or basket, not less than 10 mm
	from the wall of the vessel. Except in the case of single sampling, add a volume of dissolution medium equal to the volume of the samples withdrawn.
	Perform the analysis as directed in the individual monograph.
	Repeat the whole operation 5 times. Where 2 or more capsules are directed to be placed together in the apparatus, carry out 6 replicate tests.
	For each of the capsule tested, calculate the amount of dissolved active ingredient in solution as a percentage of the stated amount where 2 or more capsules
	are placed together, determine for each test the amount of active ingredient in solution per capsule and calculate as a percentage of the stated amount.
CQC 7	Hard capsules:
	Disintegration test:
	Use water as the dissolution medium. If the capsules hoat of the surface of the medium, a disc may be added. If the capsules addret of the disc, attach a removable piece of stainless steel woven gauge with mesh aperture of 2.00 mm to the upper plate of the backet rack assembly and carty out the test
	omitting the discs
	Operate the apparatus for 30 minutes. Remove the assembly from the liquid. The capsules pass the test if all of them have disintegrated. If 1 or 2 capsules
	fail to disintegrate, repeat the test on 12 additional capsules, not less than 16 of the total of 18 capsules tested disintegrate.
CQC 8	Soft capsules:
	Disintegration test:
	Use water as the medium and add a disc to each tube. Operate the apparatus for 60 minutes. Remove the assembly from the liquid. The capsules pass the
	test if all of them have disintegrated. If 1 or 2 capsules tail to disintegrate, repeat the test on 12 additional capsules, not less than 16 of the total of 18 capsules tested disintegrate
COC 9	Enferie cansules:
CQC )	Disintegration test:
	Place one capsule in each tube. Operate the apparatus for 2 hours without the discs in 0.1M hydrochloric acid. No capsule shows signs of disintegration or
	rupture permitting the escape of the contents. Replace the medium in the vessel with mixed phosphate buffer pH 6.8.
	Add a disc to each tube and operate the apparatus for a further 60 minutes. Remove the apparatus from the medium and examine the capsules. They pass
	the test if no residue remains on the screen or on the underside of the discs, or if the residue remains, it consists of fragments of shell or of a soft mass with
COC 10	no palpable, unmoistened core.
CQC 10	Gastro-resistant capsules: Disintegration test
	Place one cansule in each tube. Onerate the annaratus for 2 hours without the discs in 0.1M hydrochloric acid. Examine the state of the cansules and the
	time of resistance varies according to the formulation of the capsules to be examined. It is typically 2h to 3h but even with deviations it must not be less
	than 1h. No capsules show signs of disintegration or rupture permitting the escape of the content.
	Replace the acid by phosphate buffer solution of pH 6.8. Add a disc to each tube; operate the apparatus for 60 min. If the capsules fail to comply because of
	adherence to the discs, the results are invalid. Repeat the tests on further 6 capsules omitting the discs
	Dissolution test:

## IN-PROCESS AND FINISHED PRODUCTS QUALITY CONTROL TESTS FOR LIQUID ORALS

The oral use of liquid pharmaceuticals has generally been justified on the basis of ease of administration to those individuals who have difficulty in swallowing solid dosage forms. A more positive argument can be made for the use of homogenous liquids (systems in which the drug or drugs are in solution) with rare exceptions, a drug must be in solution in order to be absorbed. A dug administered in solution is immediately available for absorption and in most cases, is more rapidly and efficiently absorbed than the same amount of dug administered in the tablet or capsule.

The liquid orals quality control (LQC) tests (Table 2) are

- Uniformity of content
- Uniformity of weight
- Uniformity of mass

	Table 2: Test Procedures for Liquid Orals
Reference	Test Procedure
code	
LQC 1	Uniformity of content
	Determine the content of active ingredient of each of 10 containers taken at random using the method given in the monograph.
	The preparation complies with the test if the individual values thus obtained are all between 85 to 115 percent of the average value.
	The preparation fails to comply with the test if more than one individual value is outside the limits 85 to 115 percent of the average value or if any one individual value is outside the limits 75 to 125 percent of the average value.
	If one individual value is outside the limits 85 to 115 percent but within the limits 75 to 125 percent of the average value, repeat the determination using another 20 containers taken at random.
	The preparation complies with the test if in the total sample of 30 containers not more than 3 individual values are outside the limits 85 to 115 percent
	and not more than 1 is outside the limits 75 to 125 percent of the average value.
LQC 2	Uniformity of weight:
	Select a sample of 10 filled containers and remove the label on the containers. Clean and dry the outer surfaces of the container and weigh each
	container. Remove the contents from each container. If necessary, cut open the container and wash each empty container with a suitable solvent, taking
	care to ensure that the closure and other parts of the container are retained. Dry and again weigh each empty container together with its parts which may have been removed. The difference between the two weights is the net weight of the contents of the container.
	The average net weight of the contents of the 10 containers is not less than the labelled amount and the net weight of the contents of any single
	containers is not less than 91 percent and not more than 109 percent of the labelled amount where the labelled amount is 50 g or less, or not less than
	95.5 percent and not more than 104.5 percent of the labelled amount where the labelled is more than 50 g but not more than 100g.
	If this requirement is not met, determine the net weight of the contents of 10 additional containers. The average net weight of the contents of the 20
	containers is not less than the labelled amount, and the net weight of the contents not more than 1 of the 20 containers is less than 91 percent or more
	than 109 percent of the labelled amount, where the labelled amount is 50 g or less than 95 percent or more than 104.5 percent of the labelled amount is
	more than 50 g but not more than 100 g.
LQC 3	Uniformity of mass:
	Weigh individually the contents of 20 containers, emptied as completely as possible, and determine the average the average mass. Not more than 2 of
	the individual masses deviate by more than 10 percent from the average mass and none deviate by more than 20 percent.

INTERNATIONAL RESEARCH JOURNAL OF PHARMACY, 2(9), 2011

The comparative study of Quality control parameters and specifications for capsules as per IP, BP and USP is given in the table3

Table 3: Specifications for Capsules.					
Tests	Reference code	IP	BP	USP	
Uniformity of weight	CQC 1	90-110%	NS	NS	
Content of active ingredients	CQC 2	NS	< 10%	NS	
Uniformity of content	CQC 3	85-115%	85-115%	85-115%	
Uniformity of mass	CQC 4	< 10%	< 10%	< 10%	
Disintegration test		Disintegratio	n time		
Hard Capsules		< 30 min	< 30 min	< 30 min	
Soft Capsules	CQC 5	< 60 min	< 60 min	< 60 min	
Enteric Capsules		3 hrs	NS	NS	
Gastro-Resistant Capsules		3 hrs	NS	NS	

The comparative study of Quality control parameters and specifications for liquid orals as per IP, BP and USP is given in the table 4

Table 4: Specifications for Liquid orals						
Tests	Reference code	IP	BP	USP		
Uniformity of content	LQC 1	85-115 %	85-115 %	85-115 %		
Uniformity of weight	LQC 2	91-109 %	91-109 %	91-109 %		
Uniformity of mass	LQC 3	NS	10 %	NS		

## SUMMARY

The objective of the present work was to compare various in process and finished product Quality Control tests as per Indian Pharmacopoeia, British Pharmacopoeia and United States Pharmacopoeia. The formulations for which the comparison was made included are Capsules and Liquid orals. The available Quality Control tests from various pharmacopoeias supplement each other and each pharmacopoeia gives more details on a special issue than the other. Each pharmacopeia has its own specifications for each test.

Following are the tables specifying the tests included for Capsules and Liquid orals as per Indian Pharmacopoeia, British Pharmacopoeia and United States Pharmacopoeia

Table 5: Quality control tests for Cansules as ner Indian Pharmaconogia. British Pharmaconogia and United States Phary	naconoeia
Table 5. Quality control tests for Capsules as per indian i narmacopoeta, british i narmacopoeta and Omicu States i nari	nacopocia

Tests	Indian Pharmacopoeia	British Pharmacopoeia	United States Pharmacopoeia
Uniformity of weight	$\checkmark$	√	✓
Content of active ingredients	$\checkmark$	~	✓
Uniformity of content	$\checkmark$	~	✓
Uniformity of mass	NS	~	NS
Disintegration test	$\checkmark$	✓	$\checkmark$
Dissolution test	$\checkmark$	~	✓
Hard capsules Disintegration test	$\checkmark$	✓	✓
Soft capsules Disintegration test	✓	✓	~
Enteric capsules Disintegration test	√	NS	NS
Gastro- resistant capsules Disintegration test Dissolution test	NS	✓	NS

#### Table 6: Quality control tests for Liquid Orals as per Indian Pharmacopoeia, British Pharmacopoeia and United States Pharmacopoeia

Tests	Indian Pharmacopoeia	British Pharmacopoeia	United States Pharmacopoeia
Uniformity of content	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	✓
Uniformity of weight	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$	$\checkmark$
Uniformity of mass	NS	$\checkmark$	NS

#### CONCLUSION

From the above review it can be concluded that though Indian Pharmacopoeia, British Pharmacopoeia and United States Pharmacopoeia included most of the in process and finished products QC tests for Capsules and Liquid Orals. However some difference was observed. Some of the tests are available only in some pharmacopoeia. The differences in the tests and their limits as specified in the different pharmacopoeias needs to be harmonized and streamlined in such a way that if the test meets the specified limit as per harmonized one, it meets all the requirements of all the pharmacopoeias and later the regulatory requirements of that particular country. This is important for the products which are marketed globally. Because of this a huge amount of time, money and man power can be minimized.

#### REFERENCES

- The controller of publication. Indian Pharmacopoeia. 5th edition. New Delhi; Ministry of health and family welfare. India; 2007. Volume I.
- The controller of publication. Indian Pharmacopoeia. 5th edition. New Delhi; Ministry of health and family welfare. India, 2007. Volume II.
- 3. The controller of publication. Indian Pharmacopoeia. 5th edition. New Delhi; Ministry of health and family welfare. India; 2007. Volume III.
- 4. Published on behalf of Medicines and Health care products Regulatory Agency; The department of Health, social services and public safety. British Pharmacopoeia. 6th edition. Great Britain; 2010. Volume II.
- 5. Published on behalf of Medicines and Health care products Regulatory Agency; The department of Health, social services and public safety. British Pharmacopoeia. 6th edition. Great Britain; 2010. Volume III.
- 6. Published on behalf of Medicines and Health care products Regulatory Agency; The department of Health, social services and public safety. British Pharmacopoeia. 6th edition. Great Britain; 2010. Volume IV.
- 7. United States of Pharmacopoeia 29 National formulary 24 (United States Pharmacopoeia 29–NF24) Supplement 1, is current from April 1, 2006 through July 31, 2006